

Division of Local Government & School Accountability

Village of Sagaponack Claims Processing

Report of Examination

Period Covered:

June 1, 2015 – February 28, 2017

2017M-124



Thomas P. DiNapoli

Table of Contents

		Page
AUTHORITY LETTER		1
INTRODUCTION	NTRODUCTION	
	Background	2
	Objective	2
	Scope and Methodology	2
	Comments of Local Officials and Corrective Action	2
CLAIMS PROC	CESSING	4
	Recommendations	5
APPENDIX A	Response From Local Officials	6
APPENDIX B	Audit Methodology and Standards	9
APPENDIX C	How to Obtain Additional Copies of the Report	10
APPENDIX D	Local Regional Office Listing	11

State of New York Office of the State Comptroller

Division of Local Government and School Accountability

September 2017

Dear Village Officials:

A top priority of the Office of the State Comptroller is to help local government officials manage government resources efficiently and effectively and, by so doing, provide accountability for tax dollars spent to support government operations. The Comptroller oversees the fiscal affairs of local governments statewide, as well as compliance with relevant statutes and observance of good business practices. This fiscal oversight is accomplished, in part, through our audits, which identify opportunities for improving operations and Village Board governance. Audits also can identify strategies to reduce costs and to strengthen controls intended to safeguard local government assets.

Following is a report of our audit of the Village of Sagaponack, entitled Claims Processing. This audit was conducted pursuant to Article V, Section 1 of the State Constitution and the State Comptroller's authority as set forth in Article 3 of the New York State General Municipal Law.

This audit's results and recommendations are resources for local government officials to use in effectively managing operations and in meeting the expectations of their constituents. If you have questions about this report, please feel free to contact the local regional office for your county, as listed at the end of this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Office of the State Comptroller Division of Local Government and School Accountability

Introduction

Background

The Village of Sagaponack (Village) is located in the Town of Southampton in Suffolk County and has a population of approximately 313 residents. The Village provides various services, including building and zoning permits, and tree and infrastructure maintenance. The Village's general fund budget for the 2016-17 fiscal year was \$850,659, funded primarily with real property taxes, building permit fees and State aid.

The Village is governed by a Board of Trustees (Board), which is comprised of an elected Mayor, Deputy Mayor and three Trustees. The Board is responsible for the overall management and oversight of Village operations. The Village Clerk-Treasurer (Clerk-Treasurer), who is appointed by the Board, serves as the chief financial officer and is responsible for maintaining the accounting records, processing collections, disbursing Village money and preparing and filing financial reports.

Objective

The objective of our audit was to examine the Village's claims audit process. Our audit addressed the following related question:

• Did the Board adequately audit claims to ensure that there was sufficient documentation to support their payment of claims?

Scope and Methodology

We examined the claims audit process for the period June 1, 2015 through February 28, 2017.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). More information on such standards and the methodology used in performing this audit are included in Appendix B of this report. Unless otherwise indicated in this report, samples for testing were selected based on professional judgment, as it was not the intent to project the results onto the entire population. Where applicable, information is presented concerning the value and/or size of the relevant population and the sample selected for examination.

Comments of Local Officials and Corrective Action

The results of our audit and recommendations have been discussed with Village officials, and their comments, which appear in Appendix A, have been considered in preparing this report. Village officials agreed with our findings and indicated they have initiated corrective action.

The Board has the responsibility to initiate corrective action. A written corrective action plan (CAP) that addresses the findings and

recommendations in this report should be prepared and forwarded to our office within 90 days, pursuant to Section 35 of General Municipal Law. For more information on preparing and filing your CAP, please refer to our brochure, *Responding to an OSC Audit Report*, which you received with the draft audit report. We encourage the Board to make this plan available for public review in the Clerk-Treasurer's office.

Claims Processing

The Board is responsible for ensuring that every claim is subject to an independent, thorough and deliberate review and should document its approval, by resolution, in the Board minutes. A proper audit ensures that each claim is itemized and accompanied by sufficient documentation to determine whether it complies with statutory requirements and Village policies, and that the amounts claimed represent actual and necessary Village expenditures.

While the Board, by resolution, generally approved a warrant of claims,¹ it did not perform an effective claims audit or establish an adequate process to ensure that transactions were properly authorized and approved, complied with statutory or Village requirements or that claims were for proper Village purposes. As a result, the Board does not have adequate assurance that goods and services are purchased at the best price.

Claims are initiated when the Clerk-Treasurer authorizes a purchase for a good or service. Once a purchase is made and goods are received, the claim voucher is assembled with an invoice, receiving slip and any other supporting documentation. Warrants are prepared by a clerk, reviewed by the Clerk-Treasurer and presented to the Board for approval. The Board reviews the warrant that shows the payee names, brief descriptions and the payment amounts. However, this review is not sufficient. The Board does not review each claim to determine if the claims are properly itemized and include appropriate supporting documentation. After the Board approves the warrant, the Clerk-Treasurer generates and signs disbursement checks to pay the claims.

During our audit period, the Village paid 558 claims, totaling \$722,827. We reviewed 25 claims totaling \$82,166.88,² along with the related warrants and Board minutes, to determine if they contained adequate supporting documentation, complied with the Village's procurement policy³ and were for proper Village purposes. Except for minor deficiencies that were discussed with Village officials, the claims we tested appeared to be for proper Village purposes and generally

¹ A warrant is a list of claims presented to the Board for approval.

² See Appendix B for detailed information on our selection process.

³ Village Procurement Policy requires at least three verbal quotes to be obtained for purchase contracts and public works contracts that fall within the \$500-\$2,999 threshold. Purchase contracts that fall within the \$3,000-\$19,999 threshold require at least three written, faxed or emailed quotes. Public works contracts also require three written, faxed or emailed quotes, but the dollar threshold is \$3,000-\$34,999.

contained adequate supporting documentation. However, four claims, totaling \$4,430, which fell below the competitive bidding thresholds, were made without seeking competitive quotations. For example, the Village paid one claim, totaling \$1,320 for computer services without seeking quotes.

As a result, there is an increased risk that goods and services were not procured in the most prudent and economical manner. Further, although all claims reviewed appeared to be for proper Village purposes, the Board's failure to audit claims increases the risk of payments to vendors that are not for proper Village purposes, for goods or services not of the quality or price agreed upon and/or for goods and services not actually received.

Recommendations

The Board should:

- 1. Conduct a thorough and deliberate claims audit, ensuring that each claim has sufficient supporting documentation, and that purchases are made in accordance with Village policy.
- 2. Ensure that Village employees solicit and document quotes in accordance with the Village's procurement policy to obtain goods and services at competitive prices.

APPENDIX A

RESPONSE FROM LOCAL OFFICIALS

The local officials' response to this audit can be found on the following pages.



Village of Sagaponack 3175 Montauk Highway P.O. Box 600 Sagaponack, N.Y. 11962

3175 Montauk Highway P.O. Box 600 Sagaponack, N.Y. 11962 631-537-0017 Fax-537-0612 Email: sagaponack@optonline.net

Mayor
Don Louchheim
Clerk-Treasurer
Rosemarie Cary Winchell
Village Attorney
Anthony Tohill

Trustees
Lee Foster
William Barbour
Joy Sieger
Lisa Duryea Thayer

September 5, 2017

Office of the NYS Comptroller
Division of Local Government & School Accountability
ATT: Ira McCracken
PSU-CAP Submission
110 State Street 12th Floor
Albany, NY 12236

RE: Audit Report-Response Letter and CAP

Dear Mr. McCracken:

The Village has received and reviewed the State Audit Report prepared by your office. We acknowledge that the Village did not have the proper claims audit procedure in place for the review of each claim listed on the monthly warrant along with the fact that the four claims highlighted in the report did not include documentation of competitive prices.

For each of the recommendations included in the audit report, the following is our corrective actions taken or proposed.

Audit Recommendation

- 1. Conduct a thorough and deliberate claims audit, ensuring that each claim has sufficient supporting documentation, and that purchases are made in accordance with Village Policy.
- 2. Ensure that Village employees solicit and document quotes in accordance with the Village's procurement policy and assure that goods and services are obtained at competitive prices.

Implementation Plan of Action(s):

- 1. The Board of Trustees will conduct an audit of all claims prior to the approval of the warrant at the monthly meeting.
- 2. The Board of Trustees will review the Village procurement policy and amend where necessary to assure that goods and services are obtained at competitive prices. As a best practice going forward, the Village will document in writing the research that is conducted to assure that the goods and services are obtained at competitive prices for those goods and services that do not require written quotes under the Village's procurement policy.

Implementation Date:

- 1. April 14, 2017. At this and subsequent monthly meetings of the Village Board, all claim vouchers were and will be reviewed.
- 2. The Board will review the Village Procurement Policy at their September 11, 2017 meeting and starting September 1, 2017, all claims will include proper documentation demonstrating that competitive prices were sought before the purchase.

Person(s) Responsible for Implementation:

- 1. Board of Trustees
- 2. Board of Trustees and Village Clerk-Treasurer

Respectively Submitted,

Donald Louchheim Mayor

APPENDIX B

AUDIT METHODOLOGY AND STANDARDS

To achieve our audit objective and obtain valid evidence, we performed the following procedures:

- We reviewed all applicable laws and best practices pertaining to the process of auditing Village claims.
- We interviewed Village officials and key personnel to determine the procedures in place with the processing and auditing of claims.
- We systematically selected a sample of 25 claims from a population of the Village's vendor payment history for the audit period. Using professional judgment, we determined that we would exclude payments for utilities, state and federal taxes, New York State retirement system payments, New York State employee health insurance and bond payments. From the remaining payments made during the audit period, we sorted these payments by dollar amount from largest to smallest, and chose every 4th payment to derive our sample.
- We reviewed the claims in order to determine that claims matched warrants that were presented to the Board, claims were audited, claims contained sufficient documentation, claims were authorized by the Board prior to payment and claims were legitimate Village purchases. We also reviewed the cash disbursement warrants that were presented to the Board for accuracy.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with GAGAS. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective.

APPENDIX C

HOW TO OBTAIN ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THE REPORT

To obtain copies of this report, write or visit our web page:

Office of the State Comptroller Public Information Office 110 State Street, 15th Floor Albany, New York 12236 (518) 474-4015 http://www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/

APPENDIX D

OFFICE OF THE STATE COMPTROLLER DIVISION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY

Andrew A. SanFilippo, Executive Deputy Comptroller Gabriel F. Deyo, Deputy Comptroller Tracey Hitchen Boyd, Assistant Comptroller

LOCAL REGIONAL OFFICE LISTING

BINGHAMTON REGIONAL OFFICE

H. Todd Eames, Chief Examiner
Office of the State Comptroller
State Office Building, Suite 1702
44 Hawley Street
Binghamton, New York 13901-4417
(607) 721-8306 Fax (607) 721-8313
Email: Muni-Binghamton@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Broome, Chenango, Cortland, Delaware, Otsego, Schoharie, Sullivan, Tioga, Tompkins Counties

BUFFALO REGIONAL OFFICE

Jeffrey D. Mazula, Chief Examiner Office of the State Comptroller 295 Main Street, Suite 1032 Buffalo, New York 14203-2510 (716) 847-3647 Fax (716) 847-3643 Email: Muni-Buffalo@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Allegany, Cattaraugus, Chautauqua, Erie, Genesee, Niagara, Orleans, Wyoming Counties

GLENS FALLS REGIONAL OFFICE

Jeffrey P. Leonard, Chief Examiner Office of the State Comptroller One Broad Street Plaza Glens Falls, New York 12801-4396 (518) 793-0057 Fax (518) 793-5797 Email: Muni-GlensFalls@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Albany, Clinton, Essex, Franklin, Fulton, Hamilton, Montgomery, Rensselaer, Saratoga, Schenectady, Warren, Washington Counties

HAUPPAUGE REGIONAL OFFICE

Ira McCracken, Chief Examiner
Office of the State Comptroller
NYS Office Building, Room 3A10
250 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, New York 11788-5533
(631) 952-6534 Fax (631) 952-6530
Email: Muni-Hauppauge@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Nassau and Suffolk Counties

NEWBURGH REGIONAL OFFICE

Tenneh Blamah, Chief Examiner Office of the State Comptroller 33 Airport Center Drive, Suite 103 New Windsor, New York 12553-4725 (845) 567-0858 Fax (845) 567-0080 Email: Muni-Newburgh@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Columbia, Dutchess, Greene, Orange, Putnam, Rockland, Ulster, Westchester Counties

ROCHESTER REGIONAL OFFICE

Edward V. Grant, Jr., Chief Examiner Office of the State Comptroller The Powers Building 16 West Main Street, Suite 522 Rochester, New York 14614-1608 (585) 454-2460 Fax (585) 454-3545 Email: Muni-Rochester@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Cayuga, Chemung, Livingston, Monroe, Ontario, Schuyler, Seneca, Steuben, Wayne, Yates Counties

SYRACUSE REGIONAL OFFICE

Rebecca Wilcox, Chief Examiner
Office of the State Comptroller
State Office Building, Room 409
333 E. Washington Street
Syracuse, New York 13202-1428
(315) 428-4192 Fax (315) 426-2119
Email: Muni-Syracuse@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Herkimer, Jefferson, Lewis, Madison, Oneida, Onondaga, Oswego, St. Lawrence Counties

STATEWIDE AUDITS

Ann C. Singer, Chief Examiner State Office Building, Suite 1702 44 Hawley Street Binghamton, New York 13901-4417 (607) 721-8306 Fax (607) 721-8313